PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055447	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2005/002735	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 March 2004 (17.03.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant BASF Plant Science GmbH				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant rdate (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

Date of issuance of this report
19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Date of issuance of this report
19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)

Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis
e-mail: pt06@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

		_		REC'D 15 JUN 2005	
From the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	RITY	b		VIII O	
To:		RE	PCT	W. B. Land	
see form PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	PCT Rule 43	HING AUTHORITY	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A			
International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2005/002735 15.03.2005		day/month/year)	y/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 17.03.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/82					
Applicant BASF PLANT SCIENCE GMBH					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II □ Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VII □ Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant Is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,					
whichever expires later. For further options, see Form F 3. For further details, see notes to					
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		greekes beis Many.	

<u>(6)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kania, T

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7703



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002735

	Box No	
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	⋈	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
	\boxtimes	in written format
	\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
	\boxtimes	contained in the international application as filed.
	\boxtimes	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
2	L Additi	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002735

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-6

No: Claims 7-9

Inventive step (IS)

Claims Yes:

No:

Claims 1-6

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

The present written opinion refers to the following documents cited in the search report:

- D1: WO 03/072792 A (HADFIELD STEPHEN THOMAS; HAWKES TIMOTHY ROBERT (GB); THOMPSON PAUL AN) 4 September 2003 (2003-09-04)
- D2: WO 03/060133 A (SWETREE TECHNOLOGIES AB ; ERIKSON OSKAR (SE); NAESHOLM TORGNY (SE); HE) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24)
- D3: WO 00/37060 A (ARNISON P G ; FABIJANSKI S F (CA); KELLER W A (CA); CANADA NAT. RES COU) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29)

Subject-matter of the application

The present application relates to a method for preventing growth of transgenic plants on a field in a subsequent generation by provision of D-amino acid substrates used as herbicides. Transgenic plants express D-amino acid oxidase (DAAO, DAO, DAMOX) which is able to transform the non-toxic substrate, e.g. D-isoleucine or D-valine, into toxic metabolites. Wild-type plants not expressing the DAO are not affected and survive. The method may be used for post-harvest control.

Re Item V: Novelty and Inventive step

1. Present claims 7 and 8 relate to a herbicide composition. The composition is characterized in that it comprises a compound which comprises a D-amino acid structure. In claim 8 said structure is more closely defined as D-isoleucine or D-valine and derivatives thereof.

Either of D1 and D2 disclose D-amino acids and their use as toxic substances. In D2, D-isoleucine and D-valine are particularly mentioned to exert a herbicidal effect.

In consequence, claims 7 and 8 are not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

2. The use of the said compound to prevent or suppress unwanted growth of transgenic plants is disclosed in D2 (see in particular pages 26/27).

Thus, claim 9 is not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

3. D3 discloses the employment of tumor-marker genes to identify and remove unwanted transgenic plants from other plant populations. D3 addresses the same technical problem as the present application.

D2 explicitly suggests the use of D-amino acids in combination with the recombinant expression of D-amino acid oxidase in transgenic plants for use to remove unwanted

transgenic plants.

In the light of D3 showing the awareness in the art of the present problem and presenting one solution to this problem, the skilled man confronted with said problem would combine the teaching of D2, which provides an incentive to employ the present system for the removal of transgenic plants, with the teaching of D3 in an obvious way and with a reasonable expectation of success.

Present claims 1-6 are consequently regarded as not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).